### SENATE RULES COMMITTEE

Office of Senate Floor Analyses (916) 651-1520 Fax: (916) 327-4478

#### THIRD READING

Bill No:SB 2Author:Bradford (D) and Atkins (D), et al.Amended:5/20/21Vote:21

SENATE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE: 4-0, 4/13/21 AYES: Bradford, Kamlager, Skinner, Wiener NO VOTE RECORDED: Ochoa Bogh

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE: 7-2, 4/27/21 AYES: Durazo, Gonzalez, Hertzberg, Laird, Stern, Wieckowski, Wiener NOES: Borgeas, Jones NO VOTE RECORDED: Umberg, Caballero

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: 5-2, 5/20/21 AYES: Portantino, Bradford, Kamlager, Laird, Wieckowski NOES: Bates, Jones

SUBJECT: Peace officers: certification: civil rights

SOURCE: ACLU of California Alliance for Boys and Men of Color Anti-Police Terror Project Black Lives Matter Los Angeles California Families United 4 Justice Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice PolicyLink STOP Coalition UDW/AFSCME Local 3930 Youth Justice Coalition

**DIGEST:** This bill grants new powers to the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) to investigate and determine peace officer fitness and to decertify officers who engage in "serious misconduct"; and makes changes to the Bane Civil Rights Act to limit immunity, as specified.

# ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Requires minimum training and moral character requirements for peace officers, as defined, while at the same time identifying certain disqualifying factors, including a felony conviction. (Penal Code Sections 830 *et seq.* and Government Code Sections 1029 and 1031.)
- 2) Establishes the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) to set minimum standards for the recruitment and training of peace officers, develop training courses and curriculum, and establish a professional certificate program that awards different levels of certification based on training, education, experience, and other relevant prerequisites. Authorizes POST to cancel a certificate that was awarded in error or fraudulently obtained; however, POST is prohibited from canceling a properly-issued certificate. (Penal Code Sections 830-832.10 and 13500 *et seq.*)
- 3) States that except as specified, peace officer or custodial officer personnel records and records maintained by any state or local agency pursuant to citizens' complaints against personnel are confidential and shall not be disclosed in any criminal or civil proceeding except by discovery. This section shall not apply to investigations or proceedings concerning the conduct of peace officers or custodial officers, or any agency or department that employ these officers, conducted by a grand jury, a district attorney's office, or the Attorney General's office. (Pen. Code, § 832.7, subd. (a).)
- 4) Provides, under the Tom Bane Civil Rights Act, that if a person or persons, whether or not acting under color of law, interfere or attempt to interfere, by threats, intimidation, or coercion, with the exercise or enjoyment of any rights secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or by the Constitution or laws of the state of California, the Attorney General, or any district attorney or city attorney, is authorized to bring a civil action for equitable relief and a civil penalty. (Civil Code Section 52.1 (b).)
- 5) Permits a person whose exercise or enjoyment of rights were interfered with in violation of the Tom Bane Civil Rights Act to institute a civil action in their own name and on their own behalf for damages, as specified. (Civil Code Section 52.1 (c).)

- 6) Provides, under the Government Claims Act, that unless a statute provides otherwise, a public entity is not liable for injury, whether such injury arises out of an act or omission of the public entity or a public employee or any other person. However, a public entity is liable for injury proximately caused by an act or omission of an employee of the public entity within the scope of their employment if the act or omission would otherwise have given rise to a cause of action against that employee. (Government Code Section 814 *et seq.*)
- 7) Provides that public employees are not liable for injury caused by their instituting or prosecuting any judicial or administrative proceeding within the scope of their employment, even if they act maliciously and without probable cause. (Government Code Section 821.6.)
- 8) Provides, subject to certain exemptions, that a public entity or public employee is not liable for an injury to a prisoner, or an injury caused by the failure of an employee, other than a healing arts employee, to furnish or obtain medical care for a prisoner in their custody. However, nothing exonerates a public employee from injury proximately caused by their negligent or wrongful act or omission. Specifies that in such case the public entity may, but is not required to, pay any judgment, compromise, or settlement, but may be required to indemnify any public employee, in any case where the entity is immune from liability. (Government Codes Sections 844.6 and 845.6.)

This bill:

- 1) Requires POST to adopt by regulation a definition of "serious misconduct" that shall serve as the criteria to be considered for ineligibility for, or revocation of, certification. The bill sets out a number of criteria that shall be included as serious misconduct.
- 2) Grants POST the power to investigate and determine the fitness of any person to serve as a peace officer in the state of California and to audit any law enforcement agency that employs peace officers without cause at any time by creating and empowering a new division.
  - a) Creates the Peace Officer Standards Accountability Division (Division) within POST to investigate and prosecute proceedings to take action against a peace officer's certification.
  - b) Requires the Division to review and investigate grounds for decertification and make findings as to whether the grounds for action against an officer's certification exist.

- c) Requires the Division to notify the officer subject to decertification of their findings and allow the officer to request review.
- 3) Creates the Peace Officer Standards Accountability Advisory Board (Advisory Board) and sets forth the membership qualifications and a 3-year term of service.
- 4) Requires that the Advisory Board hold public meetings to review the findings after an investigation made by the division and to make a recommendation to POST.
- 5) Requires that POST adopt the recommendation of the Advisory Board if supported by clear and convincing evidence and if action is to be taken against an officer's certification, return the determination to the Division to commence formal proceedings before an administrative law judge consistent with the Administrative Procedures Act. And provides that the determination of the administrative law judge shall be subject to judicial review. This bill also requires that POST notify the employing agency of the officer as well as the district attorney of the county in which the officer is employed of their decision.
- 6) Requires law enforcement agencies to report to POST:
  - a) The employment, appointment, or separation from employment of a peace officer;
  - b) Any complaint, charge, allegation, or investigation into the conduct of a peace officer that could render the officer subject to revocation;
  - c) Findings of civil oversight entities; and
  - d) Civil judgements that could affect the officer's certification.
- 7) Requires, in cases of separation from employment or appointment, each agency is required to execute an affidavit-of-separation form adopted by POST describing the reason for the separation. This affidavit is signed under penalty of perjury.
- 8) Declares that certificates or proof of eligibility awarded by POST to be the property of POST and would authorize POST to revoke a proof of eligibility or certificate on grounds including the use of excessive force, sexual assault, making a false arrest, or participating in a law enforcement gang.

- 9) Requires law enforcement agencies only employ peace officers with current, valid certification or pending certification.
- 10) Directs POST to issue or deny certification, including a basic certificate or proof of eligibility to a peace officer.
- 11) Requires POST to issue a proof of eligibility or basic certificate to persons employed as a peace officers on January 1, 2022, who not otherwise possess a certificate.
- 12) Requires renewal of proof of eligibility or basic certification at least every two years and requires that POST assess a fee for the application, renewal, and the annual certification fee.
- 13) Creates a Peace Officer Certification Fund for the fees to be deposited into and continuously appropriate those funds to POST for the administration and certification program.
- 14) Makes all records related to the revocation of a peace officer's certification public and would require that records of an investigation be retained for 30 years.
- 15) Eliminates specified immunity provisions for peace and custodial officers, or public entities employing peace or custodial officers sued under the Tom Bane Civil Rights Act.
- 16) Authorizes persons who can otherwise bring actions for wrongful death to bring an action under the Tom Bane Civil Rights Act for the death of a person if the when the claim is based on conduct that constitutes a crime of violence or a crime of moral turpitude.
- 17) Makes a number of uncodified findings and declarations.

### Background

This bill creates a process for decertification by creating the Peace Officer Standards Accountability Division (Division) within POST. This Division has the responsibility of reviewing grounds for decertification, conducting investigations into serious misconduct, presenting findings in decertification procedures, and seeking revocation of certification of peace officers. The bill also creates a Peace Officer Standards Accountability Advisory Board (Advisory Board). The Advisory Board is tasked with hearing evidence of misconduct and making determinations as to the certification or decertification of peace officers. The Advisory Board conducts hearings publically and the bill makes the necessary amendments to California code to permit the discussion of peace officer personnel records introduced in these proceedings subject to public disclosure.

California's Bane Act protects persons from threats, intimidation, or coercion and for attempts to interfere with someone's state or federal statutory constitutional rights. The Bane Act authorizes a cause of action against a person who, whether or not acting under "color of law," uses threats, intimidation, or coercion to interfere with the ability of another person in the exercise and enjoyment of any rights guaranteed under the U.S. or California constitutions, or any right guaranteed under federal or state statute. Some courts have more restrictively interpreted the Bane Act to require that threats, intimidation, or coercion must be committed with the specific intent to interfere with the person's rights. Other courts have found that only general intent is required.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: Yes Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: Yes

According to the Senate Appropriations Committee:

• *Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST):* The commission reports one-time costs of \$650,000 and ongoing costs ranging between \$28.3 million and \$37.2 million annually. In large part, costs are associated with increased personnel required for investigations, complaint intake, data collection, information reporting, certification processing, and administrative functions (ranging from \$19 million to \$26 million annually); facility expansion of its current location and obtaining additional office space in the Bay Area and southern California (estimated at about \$5.75 million annually); travel requirements for staff (estimated between \$3 million and \$5 million annually); equipment costs (at about \$350,000 annually); and costs related to the Advisory Board (of about \$145,000 annually). Personnel estimates by POST are based on the Division conducting 1,200 decertification investigations a year, and costs likely would rise if markedly more investigations are required.

Ongoing costs, either wholly or to a large extent, would be offset by fees that POST would be able to charge to administer the mandates in this bill. For example, presuming about 100,000 peace officers in the state would be subject to the certification scheme that would be established by SB 2, the annual certification fee alone, set at the statutory cap of \$250, would generate about \$25 million. While the payment of fees ultimately would be the responsibility of each peace officer, as a practical matter, they likely would be covered by their employing agency. Consequently, the fees likely would be added state

costs for state entities that employ peace officers who would be subject to the certification requirement of this bill. While POST would be able to charge fees for certifications that it issues starting on January 1, 2022, it is likely that the commission would, at least initially, need to use its existing operating budget or receive a General Fund appropriation to begin its work under this measure before it is able to collect fee revenue. (General Fund, fees)

- *California Highway Patrol (CHP):* To the extent that CHP covers the costs of the certification fees for its peace officers, it anticipates initial costs of \$4.18 million with ongoing annual costs of \$2.09 million. The department also reports the likely need to hire 2.0 additional Attorney VI and 2.0 Senior Legal Analysts, at an annual ongoing cost of \$600,000 (exclusive of benefits), for workload related to the elimination of qualified immunity under the Bane Act. (Special fund\*)
- Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR): Significant ongoing annual workload costs, necessitating new personnel, ranging from the hundreds of thousands of dollars to the millions of dollars to CDCR to report to POST within seven days the occurrence of specified incidents that could lead to the revocation of an officer's certification, including any complaint, charge, allegation, or investigation into the conduct of a peace officer that could render the certification of the officer subject to revocation. The department employs over 28,000 peace officers and receives a significant number of complaints against its officers every week. Additionally, CDCR is not equipped to provide an outside agency with all of the complaints that it receives. Doing so likely would require significant one-time infrastructure costs to establish such a process. (General Fund)
- *Department of Justice (DOJ):* Unknown, potentially-significant workload costs. For a similar bill from a prior session, costs for the department were in the low hundreds of thousands of dollars annually with higher initial costs during the first few years. Any litigation costs borne by DOJ related to the representation of a state agency due to the elimination of immunity under the Bane Act would be recoverable through charges to the client state departments. Collectively, however, these litigation cost could be significant, potentially in the hundreds of thousands of dollars annually.
- *Other state entities:* Costs would vary, from minor and absorbable (for those agencies that employ a small number of peace officers) to tens of thousands of dollars each year (e.g., the Department of Insurance) to the low hundreds of

thousands of dollars annually (e.g., the University of California with approximately 470 peace officers).

- *Loss of immunity, lower standard:* Unknown, potentially major costs in the millions of dollars annually across state departments to the extent that the elimination of immunity for state employers in the Bane Act would lead to additional and/or higher awards of damages and settlements by state departments and entities that employ peace officers or custodial officers than otherwise would happen under existing law.
- *Information reporting by local agencies:* Unknown, potentially-major costs in the aggregate to local jurisdictions to provide specified information to POST under SB 2. Actual costs to each jurisdiction would depend on a number of factors, including the number of peace officers employed by the agency, the rate of officer turnover, and how many officers are subject to an investigation that would prompt reporting by this measure. These costs likely would be reimbursable by the state, the extent of which would be determined by the Commission on State Mandates. Considering that there are over 500 local law enforcement agencies across the state, costs to comply with this measure could be in the hundreds of thousands of dollars in the aggregate at a minimum. (General Fund, local funds)
- *Courts:* Unknown, potentially-significant workload cost pressures to the courts to the extent that the ability to bring wrongful death claims and/or the inapplicability of specified immunity provisions under the Bane Act result in additional or lengthier cases that would not have been file or taken as long under existing law. While the superior courts are not funded on a workload basis, an increase in workload could result in delayed court services and would put pressure on the General Fund to increase the amount appropriated to backfill for trial court operations. For illustrative purposes, the Governor's proposed 2021-2022 budget would appropriate \$118.3 million from the General Fund to backfill continued reduction in fine and fee revenue for trial court operations. (General Fund\*\*)
- \* Motor Vehicle Account, State Transportation Fund \*\* Trial Court Trust Fund

## **SUPPORT:** (Verified 5/20/21)

ACLU of California (co-source) Alliance for Boys and Men of Color (co-source) Anti-Police Terror Project (co-source)

Black Lives Matter Los Angeles (co-source) California Families United 4 Justice (co-source) Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice (co-source) PolicyLink (co-source) STOP Coalition (co-source) UDW/AFSCME Local 3930 (co-source) Youth Justice Coalition (co-source) Against Bigotry, Responding With Action Alameda County Public Defender's Office All Home Alliance San Diego American Association of Independent Music American Civil Liberties Union/Northern California/Southern California/San **Diego and Imperial Counties** American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 3299 Artist Rights Alliance Asian Prisoner Support Committee Asian Solidarity Collective Bend the Arc: Jewish Action **Black Leadership Council Black Music Action Coalition Brotherhood Crusade** California Alliance for Youth and Community Justice California Department of Insurance California Faculty Association California Immigrant Policy Center California Innocence Coalition: Northern California Innocence Project, California Innocence Project, Loyola Project for The Innocent California Nurses Association California Public Defenders Association Californians for Safety and Justice Change for Justice Children's Defense Fund - California City of Oakland Clergy and Laity United for Economic Justice Community Advocates for Just and Moral Governance Consumer Attorneys of California Courage California Democratic Party of The San Fernando Valley **Disability Rights California** 

SB 2 Page 9

SB 2 Page 10

Drug Policy Alliance East Bay for Everyone Ella Baker Center for Human Rights **Empowering Pacific Islander Communities** Equal Rights Advocates **Essie Justice Group** Everytown for Gun Safety Action Fund Fresno Barrios Unidos Friends Committee on Legislation of California Fund Her Giffords Indivisible CA Statestrong Indivisible East Bay Indivisible South Bay LA Indivisible Yolo Initiate Justice Justice Reinvestment Coalition of Alameda County Kensington Community Church Kern County Participatory Defense LA Voice Law Enforcement Accountability Network Law Enforcement Action Partnership League of Women Voters of California Legal Services for Prisoners With Children Los Angeles LGBT Center Martin Luther King Jr Freedom Center Mexican American Bar Association of Los Angeles County Mid-city Community Advocacy Network Moms Demand Action for Gun Sense in America Mosques Against Trafficking Music Artists Coalition National Action Network - Sacramento Chapter National Association of Social Workers, California Chapter National Institute for Criminal Justice Reform National Nurses United Nextgen California Orange County Emergency Response Coalition Organizers in Solidarity Pacifica Social Justice Palomar Uu Fellowship

SB 2 Page 11

People's Budget Orange County Pico California Pillars of The Community Prosecutors Alliance of California Public Health Institute **Recording Industry Association of America** Roots of Change Salesforce San Diegans for Justice San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District San Francisco Board of Supervisors San Francisco Public Defender San Jose State University Human Rights Institute Santa Monica Coalition for Police Reform Screen Actors Guild-American Federation of Television and Radio Artists **SEIU** California Showing Up for Racial Justice Long Beach Showing Up for Racial Justice North County Showing Up for Racial Justice North County San Diego Showing Up for Racial Justice San Diego Silicon Valley Leadership Group Songwriters of North America Southeast Asia Resource Action Center Students Demand Action for Gun Sense in America **Team Justice** The Resistance Northridge Indivisible Think Dignity Together We Will/indivisible - Los Gatos Uprise Theatre We the People - San Diego White People 4 Black Lives Yalla Indivisible

### **OPPOSITION:** (Verified 5/20/21)

Association for Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriff's Association of Probation Supervisors of Los Angeles County California Association of Highway Patrolmen California Association of Joint Powers Authorities California Coalition of School Safety Professionals

SB 2 Page 12

California Correctional Peace Officers Association California Fraternal Order of Police California Peace Officers Association California Police Chiefs Association California State Sheriffs' Association California Statewide Law Enforcement Association City of Fountain Valley City of Kerman Corona Police Officers Association Deputy Sheriffs Association of San Diego Hawthorne Police Officers Association League of California Cities Long Beach Police Officers Association Los Angeles County Probation Managers Association, AFSCME, Local 1967 Los Angeles Police Protective League Los Angeles School Police Officers Association Newport Beach Police Association Pacific Justice Institute Palos Verdes Police Officers Association Peace Officers Research Association of California **Riverside Sheriffs' Association** Sacramento County Deputy Sheriffs Association San Bernardino County Safety Employees' Benefit Association San Bernardino County Sheriff's Employees' Benefit Association San Diego District Attorney Investigator's Association San Diego Police Officers Association San Francisco Police Officers Association Santa Ana Police Officers Association

Prepared by: Gabe Caswell / PUB. S. / 5/25/21 10:03:03

\*\*\*\* END \*\*\*\*